

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/679,722	10/06/2003	Duane D. Miller	20609/203 (PD 00034)	2869
Nixon Peabody	7590 01/19/2007 v LLP	EXAMINER		
Clinton Square			AULAKH, CHARANJIT	
P.O. Box 3105 Rochester, NY	=		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Rochester, 141	14003-1031		1625	
SHOPTENED STATISTON	DA DEDIOD OE DESDONSE	MAIL DATE	DEL IVED	V MODE
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		01/19/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/679,722	MILLER ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	•	Charanjit S. Aulakh	1625				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	dress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time 17 rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. sely filed the mailing date of this co 35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 Oc</u>	ctober 2006.					
	<u> </u>	action is non-final.	· · .				
3)	,—						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-44</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	S)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-44</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9) 🗌 🤈	The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFI	R 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) 🔲 .	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign p	oriority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
_	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		() ()				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Application	on No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	ty documents have been receive	d in this National S	Stage			
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment	(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) 🔲 Notice	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Dat	e				
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	tent Application				
		· 					

Art Unit: 1625

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

1. Claims 1-44 are pending in the application.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed on Oct. 6, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive regarding enablement and indefiniteness rejections. In regard to enablement for treating various disease conditions, the applicants cited an article by Wise and a review article by Philipp et al. However, both of these article do not say anything regarding well known utility of either alpha2a or alpha2c receptor antagonists in treating hypertension, erectile dysfunction, pain, glaucoma, alcohol and drug withdrawl, rheumatoid arthritis, ischemia, migraine, cognitive deficiency, spaciticity, diarrhea and nasal congestion. Actually, the review article by Philipp clearly states that "Despite the fact that alpha2-adrenergic receptors serve a number of physiological functions in vivo and have great therapeutic potential, no sufficiently subtype-selective ligands are clinically available yet " (see page 287, right column). The only well established utility is for beta2 receptor agonists in asthma and beta1 receptor antagonists in hypertension. In regard to treating atherosclerotic coronary arteries, there is no teaching in Philipp's review article regarding efficacy of alpha2a receptor antagonists. Actually, this article specifically mentions (page 290, left column) that it is still unknown which alpha2-receptor subtype is responsible for the vasoconstriction in humans. In regard to sedation and hypotension, Philipp's article clearly teaches that alpha2a receptor agonists and not antagonists mediate these effects and therefore. selective alpha2a receptor antagonists will have utility in treating adrenergic alph2a-

Art Unit: 1625

recptor- mediated hypotension and sedation. In regard to treatment of Raymond's phenomenon, Philipp's article mentions diminishing the vasoconstriction by alpha2-adrenergic antagonists and not by alpha2c receptor antagonists. The study by Wise does show efficacy of OPC-28326 (with preferential binding to alpha2c receptors) at high dose in Raymond's phenomenon. However, the structure of this compound is not disclosed and therefore, it is not clear whether this compound is structurally closely related to instant compounds or not? However, if other structurally diverse compounds having alpha2c receptor antagonist activity are also known in the art to be efficacious in Raymond's phenomenon, only then the instant compounds will have enablement for treating Raymond's phenomenon.

In regard to indefiniteness rejections of instant claims 24-44, the examiner does not agree with the applicant's arguments that claims encompass both in vivo and in vitro use. As stated clearly in the last office action, the compounds need to be administered for inhibition in vivo and there is no mention of administering the instant compounds in the instant claims. Also, as mentioned earlier in response to enablement issues, the instant specification does not have enablement for treating adrenergic alpha2c receptor-mediated condition and therefore, it is not clear what is end result for inhibiting alpha2c receptors in vivo? The instant specification is enabling only for inhibiting the activity of both alpha2a and alpha2c receptors in vitro.

Conclusion

3. Rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph and second paragraph are maintained for the reasons of record.

Art Unit: 1625

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charanjit S. Aulakh whose telephone number is (571)272-0678. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:30 A.M. to 5:00 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas McKenzie can be reached on (571)272-0670. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1625

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Charanjit S. Aulakh
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1625